

Sinclair Broadcast Group's recent actions have illustrated the dangers to localism caused by media consolidation.

This is not serving the public when biased information is sent out under the pretense that it is valid. I do not agree with ads on private tv because they never tell the "whole" truth either, but I am just horrified that Sinclair can send out lies to so many households and try to pass it off as a true documentary. This is not serving the public interest.

Sinclair uses the public airwaves free of charge, and is obligated by law to serve the public interest. But when large companies control the airwaves, we get more of what's good for the bottom line and less of what we need for our democracy. Instead of something produced at "News Central" far away, it's more important that we see real people from our own communities and more substantive news about issues that matter.

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard. Thank you.